

**IN THE CLAIMS:**

Please amend the claims as follows.

D1  
3. (Twice Amended) The vehicle of claim 2, wherein said electronic sensor further comprises generating means coupled to said sensing [means] mass for generating a signal representative of the movement of said sensing mass.

D2  
§ 7 (Twice Amended) The vehicle of claim ~~6~~<sup>7</sup>, wherein said crash sensor further [comprising] comprises a micro-processor for determining whether the movement of said sensing mass over time results in an algorithmic determined value which is in excess of the threshold value based on said signal.

**REMARKS**

Entry of this amendment and reconsideration of the present application, as amended, are respectfully requested.

In this amendment, claims 3 and 7 have been amended to remove minor informalities noted by the Examiner. As such, the amendment reduces the number of outstanding rejections and does not raise any new issues and therefore should be entered.

**Disclosure**

The specification at page 20, line 4 and claim 7 at line 2 have been amended as suggested by the Examiner. As such, the Examiner's objection to the disclosure has been overcome and should be removed.

**Rejection of Claims 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. §112**

Claims 3-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, because the phrase "sensing means" in claim 3 lacked antecedent basis.

Claim 3 has been amended to change the phrase "sensing means" to "sensing mass", for which there is antecedent basis in claim 1. This change does not raise a new issue.